Making Sense of NoSQL Dan McCreary Wednesday, Nov. 13th 2014



midwest architecture community collaboration

Agenda

- Why NoSQL?
- What are the key NoSQL architectures?
- How are they different from traditional RDBMS Systems?
- What types of problems do they solve?
- How to learn more

Background for Dan McCreary

- Co-founder of the NoSQL Now! conference
- Background
 - Bell Labs
 - NeXT Computer (Steve Jobs)
 - Owner of 75-person software consulting firm
 - US Federal data integration (National Information Exchange Model NIEM.gov)
 - Native XML/XQuery for metadata management since 2006
 - Advocate of web standards, NoSQL and XRX systems
 - As of Monday Principal Engineer with MarkLogic

Making Sense of NoSQL







- Coauthor (with Ann Kelly) of "Making Sense of NoSQL"
- Guide for managers and architects
- Focus on NoSQL architectural tradeoff analysis
- Basis for 40 hour course on database architectures
- http://manning.com/mccreary

The Story of Property Tax Forms

Alex Bleasdale



- How did I get into NoSQL?
- In 2006 a state agency in Minnesota wanted to standardize property tax records across 87 counties
- A story about standards



Arun Batchu

- XML, XML Schema, XForms, XQuery, NEIM
- A story about agility
- A story about new technology adoption



MINNESOTA REVENUE

Property Taxation Metadata Registry

Metadata Registry Home | About | Feedback | Links | Bibliography

Metadata Registry

Browse Metadata Registry by Data Element Name

<u>Glossaries</u>

- Browse Elements
 XML Schemas
- Links
- <u>Metrics</u>

é (#	Data Element Name	Status	Primary Owner Team	
ements	1	Activity	assigned-to-review-team	NIEM-universal	
mas	2	ActivityDate	assigned-to-review-team	NIEM-universal	
	3	ActivityEndDate	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	4	ActivityFederalFiscalYear	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	5	ActivityFiscalYear	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	6	ActivityStartDate	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	7	ActivityStateFiscalYearNumber	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	8	Address	assigned-to-review-team	NIEM-universal	
	9	AddressCityName	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	10	AddressLine1Text	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	11	AddressLine2Text	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	12	AddressPostalCodelD	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	13	AddressStateCode	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	14	AngularMinute	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	15	AngularSecond	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	16	Contact	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	17	ContactEmailID	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	18	ContactFAXText	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	19	ContactPhoneText	initial-draft	DataStandards	
	20	CRV	initial-draft	CRV	
	21	CRVAdjustmentCode	initial-draft	CRV	
	22	CRVCountyAuditorID	initial-draft	CRV	

MINNESOTA · REVENUE

Property Taxation Metadata Registry

Metadata Registry Home | About | Feedback | Links | Bibliography

Metadata Registry

CRV

<u>Glossaries</u> Browse Elements	Approval Status:initial-draft Primary Owner Team:CRV						
XML Schemas Links	ISO Name Components Object: CRV						
<u>Metrics</u>	Definition:	A certificate of real estate value document that must be filed with the county auditor whenever real property valued over \$1,000 is sold or conveyed in Minnesota.					
	Complex: Subclass Of:	true DocumentForm					
	Abbreviation: CRV						
	<u>Screen</u> Label:	CRV					
	Metadata Source:	Back of form PE20, Minnesota Department of Revenue glossary					
	<u>Usage:</u>	The Minnesota Department of Revenue uses information on the CRV to determine if assessors through Minnesota are valuing property according to the same standards, and to determine how much state aid will go to all school districts and cities in the next year. The value of the real property in ache school district and city affects the amount of financial aid the state will provide.					
	<u>Referenced</u> in:	DataStandards					
	<u>General</u> <u>Note:</u>	Information reported on the CRV includes the sales price, the value of any personal property, if any, included in the sale, and the financial terms of the sale. The CRV is eventually filed with the Property Tax Division of the Department of Revenue. The deed types must be warranty deed, contract for deed, quit claim deed, trustee deed, executor deed or probate deed. If the value of the property is less than \$1,000 the deed must have the following written on that back: The sale price or other consideration given for this property was \$1,000 or less.					
	Enumerated:	false					
502	84	Metadata Registry Home Training FAQ					
		Minnesota Department of Revenue. Page generated on 2006-05-25-05:00					

immary	Buyers	Sellers	Property	Sales Agreemen	t Supplementary	County	Workflow
egal Descrip.	tion of Minnesota	Property Being Tra	nsferred				
County infor	mation:						
Sales Agre (dollar amou	ement County Allo	County: Date: Date	akota .0	•]		
Description	of Minnesota Pro	perty Being Transf	erred				
	Lei	gal description: A L M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	sample Legal prem ipsum do auris porttit assa, sodales d lacus vita apibus varius coin a sem vi cctus. Mauris	l description: olor sit amet, con cor pellentesque l s id, tempor et, s ae lacus tempus ia s, iaculis non, sa itae mi volutpat a s at elit. Suspend	sectetuer adipi eo. Suspendisse emper eget, pur culis. Aenean l gittis gravida, liquet. Suspend isse tellus ero	scing elit. quam us. Mauris orem massa, justo. lisse sed s, rhoncus	
Homestea Will O Yes O No	nd Status the buyer use this prin	property as their cipal residence?:	>	(F orms	from	XWI	_ Schema!
Primary P	arcel ID						
	F	rimary Parcel ID:	ABC-001				
Additional	Parcel IDs	L					
Addition	al Parcel ID (leave	first one blank, if none):	ABC-001-A				
Addition	al Parcel ID (leave	first one blank, if [none):	ABC-001-B				
Add Sur	nlomontan / Par	-eUD					

							State Study Lock:	
oun	ity Edit Form Version	1.0 created on De	ecember 17th, 2007				County Edit Lock:	
ack t	to Dashboard (abandon ed	it) Save form informa	ation (not available for d	lemo) Send feedb	ack to the team		State Eult Lock.	
ımma	ary Buyers	Sellers	Property S	ales Agreement	Supplementary	County	Workflow	
urrei	nt Workflow for this CRV D	ocument						
ID	Date/Time	User	Org		Code	Activity	Commer	nt
	2008-01-14, 08:42	anonymous	anonymous	original				
2	2008-01-14, 08:44	dakota	dakota	county-acc	epted		County Accepted CRV	
}	2008-01-14, 08:45	dakota	dakota	activity			CRV edited and saved	
ŀ	2008-01-14, 08:47	dakota-as	dakota	assessor-	assigned		assigning to assessor	,
j	2008-01-15, 10:39	dakota	dakota	activity			CRV edited and saved	
Add	new Workflow event							
	CRVW	/orkflow Code:	~					
	Cou	unty Code Text						
	(0	niy relevant on		Comment:				
	o) county c							
	(o county c							

Four Translations



- T₁ HTML into Java Objects
- T₂ Java Objects into SQL Tables
- T₃ Tables into Objects
- T₄ Objects into HTML

Kurt's Suggestion



store(\$collection, \$file-name, \$data)
Equivalent of 45 SQL inserts in 1 line of code!

Zero Translation



- XML lives in the web browser (**X**Forms)
- **R**EST interfaces
- XML in the database (Native XML, **X**Query)
- XRX Web Application Architecture
- No "impedance mismatch", No translation!
- Department tried it and then went back to HTML, Java and SQL
- ...but I was forever changed...I began to question everything I had been taught about databases

Anger, Wiki, Conference, Book



2011, 2012, 2013, 2014



Kelly-McCreary & Associates

NoSQL on Google Trends



http://www.google.com/trends/explore?q=NoSQL%2C+RDBMS#q=NoSQL%2C%20RDBMS&cmpt=q

The NO-SQL Universe



Sample of NoSQL Jargon

Document orientation Schema free MapReduce Horizontal scaling Sharding and auto-sharding **Brewer's CAP Theorem** Consistency Reliability Partition tolerance Single-point-of-failure **Object-Relational mapping** Key-value stores Column stores Document-stores Memcached

Indexing **B-Tree** Configurable durability Documents for archives Functional programming **Document Transformation Document Indexing and Search** Alternate Query Languages Aggregates OI AP XQuerv **MDX** RDF **SPARQL** Architecture Tradeoff Modeling ATAM Erlang

Note that within the context of NoSQL many of these terms have different meanings!

Before NoSQL



Analytical (OLAP)

After NoSQL



Food for thought...

 What percentage of database transactions run on RDBMSs in the following organizations?



- What percentage of all transactions in Minnesota run on RDBMSs?
- Why is this number different?
- Is our data fundamentally different?

NoSQL – The Big Tent



http://www.flickr.com/photos/morgennebel/2933723145/

- "NoSQL" a label for a "meme" that now encompasses a large body of innovative ideas on data management
- "Not Only SQL"
- Focus on non-relational databases and hybrids
- A community where new ideas are quickly recombined to create innovative new business solutions

Historical Context

Mainframe Era

Commodity Processors





- 1 CPU
- COBOL and FORTRAN
- Punchcards and flat files
- \$10,000 per CPU hour

- 10,000 CPUs
- Functional programming
- MapReduce "farms"
- Pennies per CPU hour

Two Approaches to Computation

1930s and 40s



John von Neumann



Alonzo Church

Manage **state** with a program counter.

Make computations act like math functions.

Which is simpler? Which is cheaper? Which will scale to 10,000 CPUs?

Standard vs. MapReduce Prices

John's Way Alonzo's Way

Pricing for Amazon EC2 (On-Demand) and Amazon Elastic MapReduce

Region: US East (N. Virginia)	•		
		Amazon EC2 Price	Amazon Elastic MapReduce Price
Standard On-Demand Instances			
Small (Default)		\$0.06 per hour	\$0.015 per hour
Medium		\$0.12 per hour	\$0.03 per hour
Large		\$0.24 per hour	\$0.06 per hour
Extra Large		\$0.48 per hour	\$0.12 per hour

http://aws.amazon.com/elasticmapreduce/#pricing

MapReduce CPUs Cost Less!



Why? (hint: how "shareable" is this process)

http://aws.amazon.com/elasticmapreduce/#pricing

Pressures on Single Node RDBMS Architectures



An evolving tree of data types



Many Uses of Data

- Transactions (OLTP)
- Analysis (OLAP)
- Search and Findability
- Enterprise Agility
- Discovery and Insight
- Speed and Reliability
- Consistency and Availability

Three Eras of Enterprise Data



 NoSQL will not replace ERP or BI/DW systems – but they will complement them and also facilitate the integration of unstructured document data

Simplicity is a Virtue



Photo from flickr by PSNZ Images

- Many modern systems derive their strength by dramatically limiting the features in their system and focus on a specific task
- Simplicity allows database designer to focus on the primary business drivers
- Simplicity promotes "separation of concerns"

Google MapReduce

MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters

Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat

jeff@google.com, sanjay@google.com

Google, Inc.

- 2004 paper that had huge impact of functional programming on the entire community
- Copied by many organizations, including Yahoo

Google Bigtable Paper

Bigtable: A Distributed Storage System for Structured Data

Fay Chang, Jeffrey Dean, Sanjay Ghemawat, Wilson C. Hsieh, Deborah A. Wallach Mike Burrows, Tushar Chandra, Andrew Fikes, Robert E. Gruber

{fay,jeff,sanjay,wilsonh,kerr,m3b,tushar,fikes,gruber}@google.com

Google, Inc.

- 2006 paper that gave focus to scaleable databases
- designed to reliably scale to petabytes of data and thousands of machines

Amazon's Dynamo Paper

All Things Distributed

Werner Vogels' weblog on building scalable and robust distributed systems.



- Werner Vogels
- CTO Amazon.com
- October 2, 2007
- Used to power Amazon's Web Sites
- One of the most influential papers in the NoSQL movement

Giuseppe DeCandia, Deniz Hastorun, Madan Jampani, Gunavardhan Kakulapati, Avinash Lakshman, Alex Pilchin, Swami Sivasubramanian, Peter Vosshall and Werner Vogels, "Dynamo: Amazon's Highly Available Key-Value Store", in the *Proceedings of the 21st ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, Stevenson, WA, October 2007.

Scale Up vs. Scale Out



Scale Up

- Make a single CPU as fast as possible
- Increase clock speed
- Add RAM
- Make disk I/O go faster



Scale Out

- Make Many CPUs work together
- Learn how to divide your problems into independent threads

Automatic Sharding



- When one node in a cluster has too much of a load the system should be able to automatically rebalance the data distribution
- Note: Auto-sharding is not the same as replication!

Schema-Free Integration



"We can easily store the data that we **actually** get, not the data we **thought** we would get."

Horizontal Scalability



Shared Nothing Architecture



Every node in the cluster has its own CPU, RAM and disk

Master-Slave vs. Peer to Peer



- The Master node may become a bottleneck in large clusters
- Many newer NoSQL architectures are moving toward a true peer-to-peer system

The Tunable SLA



 NoSQL systems that use many commodity processors can be precisely tuned to meet an organizations service level agreements

Key-Value Stores



Examples: Berkley DB, Memcache, DynamoDB, S3, Redis, Riak

- Keys used to access opaque blobs of data
- Values can contain any type of data (images, video)
- **Pros:** scalable, simple API (put, get, delete)
- **Cons:** no way to query based on the content of the value

Column-Family



Examples:

Cassandra, HBase, Hypertable, Apache Accumulo, Bigtable

- Key includes a row, column family and column name
- Store versioned blobs in one large table
- Queries can be done on rows, column families and column names
- Pros: Good scale out, versioning
- Cons: Cannot query blob content, row and column designs are critical

Column store key-value

Key

Row-ID	Column Family	Column Name	Timestamp	Value
--------	------------------	----------------	-----------	-------

- The key is composed of:
 - row id (string)
 - Column family (grouping of columns)
 - Column name (string)
 - Timestamp (64-bit value)
- Value
 - any blob (byte stream)

Graph Store



Examples:

Neo4j, AllegroGraph, Bigdata triple store, InfiniteGraph, StarDog

- Data is stored in a series of nodes, relationships and properties
- Queries are really graph traversals
- Ideal when relationships between data is key:
 - e.g. social networks
- **Pros:** fast network search, works with public linked data sets
- **Cons:** Poor scalability when graphs don't fit into RAM, specialized query languages (RDF uses SPARQL)

Document Store



Examples:

MarkLogic, MongoDB, Couchbase, CouchDB, RavenDB, eXist-db

- Data stored in nested hierarchies
- Logical data remains stored together as a unit
- Any item in the document can be queried
- **Pros:** No object-relational mapping layer, ideal for search
- **Cons:** Complex to implement, incompatible with SQL

Two Models



"Retained Structure"



- All keywords in a single container
- Only count frequencies are stored with each word
- Keywords associated with each sub-document component

Keywords and Node IDs



 Keywords in the reverse index are now associated with the **node-id** in every document

Using the Wrong Architecture



Credit: Isaac Homelund – MN Office of the Revisor

Using the Right Architecture



Find ways to remove barriers and empower the **non programmers** on your team.

Further Reading and Questions

Dan McCreary dan@danmccreary.com

Lwitter @dmccreary

Thank You!



http://manning.com/mccreary